

Engine Dynamometer Testing of a Non-Road, Tier III Diesel Operated on Soy and Animal Based Biodiesel

Mark Burnitzki^{1.}, Don Chernich^{1.}, Tullie Flower^{1.}, Robert Ianni^{1.}, Harlan Quan^{1.}, Roelof Riemersma^{1.}, Wayne Sobieralski^{1.}, Michael Veridiano^{1.}, James Guthrie^{2.}, Alexander Mitchell^{2.}, Robert Okamoto^{2.}, Marcie Pullman^{2.}, Tom Durbin^{3.}

- California Air Resources Board, Mobile Source Operations Division-Stockton Laboratory, 2769 Teepee Drive, Stockton, CA. 95205
- California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, 1001 I Street, Sacramento, CA. 95814
- University of California Riverside, CE-CERT, 1084 Columbia Avenue, Riverside, CA 92507

December 2010 Sacramento, California

California Environmental Protection Agency
AIR RESOURCES BOARD

Introduction


- Engine dynamometer evaluation of Soy and Animal based biodiesel vs CARB diesel. Test fuels were: CARB Diesel, S20, 50, S100, A5, A20, and A100
- ISO 8178-C1, 8-mode, engine dynamometer test protocol was followed
- Test project is in support of ARB's Biodiesel Multi-Media Evaluation




Fuel Test Sequence

Typical week of testing:

- •Two, 8-mode tests per day with a fuel change
- •Six replicates per fuel
- •Seven fuels (CARB-D, S20, S50, S100, A5, A50, A100)




3





ISO 8178, Type C1, 8-Mode Test




Mode	Engine Speed	Engine Torque	Weighting Factor
1	Rated	100%	15%
2	Rated	75%	15%
3	Rated	50%	15%
4	Rated	10%	15%
5	Peak Torque	100%	10%
6	Peak Torque	75%	10%
7	Peak Torque	50%	10%
8	Idle	0%	15%


AIR RESOURCES BOARD Soy Based Biodiesel Test Results

Soy	Fuel	NOx (g/bhphr)	PM(g/bhphr)	CO (g/bhphr)	THC(g/bhphr)	CO2(g/bhphr)
Ave.	CARB	2.74	0.11	1.21	0.17	643.2
	S20	2.82	0.08	1.17	0.16	650.7
	S50	2.95	0.07	1.07	0.15	648.9
	S100	3.12	0.05	0.91	0.12	656.7
COV	CARB	1.8%	16.4%	3.0%	13.5%	0.9%
	S20	1.3%	14.8%	4.6%	10.0%	1.0%
	S50	2.0%	22.4%	4.6%	7.4%	0.1%
	S100	2.0%	19.7%	1.8%	5.5%	0.5%
% Diff.	S20	2.8%	-23.6%	-3.7%	-5.2%	0.7%
	S50	7.5%	-31.7%	-11.8%	-12.3%	0.9%
	S100	13.8%	-55.9%	-25.1%	-27.5%	2.1%



Animal	Fuel	NOx (g/bhphr)	PM(g/bhphr)	CO (g/bhphr)	THC(g/bhphr)	CO2(g/bhphr)
Ave.	CARB	2.67	0.11	1.24	0.15	649.4
	A5	2.64	0.10	1.23	0.14	652.5
	A20	2.68	0.09	1.16	0.13	656.6
	A100	2.87	0.05	0.88	0.08	657.4
COV	CARB	1.41%	7.02%	4.82%	18.66%	1.13%
	A5	2.18%	6.33%	4.27%	11.75%	1.32%
	A20	2.39%	9.59%	3.15%	7.27%	1.01%
	A100	2.32%	9.74%	2.57%	5.27%	0.96%
% Diff.	A5	-1.0%	-5.6%	-1.3%	-7.9%	0.5%
	A20	0.7%	-21.8%	-7.0%	-13.6%	1.1%
	A100	7.6%	-55.4%	-29.5%	-47.1%	1.2%





AIR RESOURCES BOARD Conclusions

- NOx increases were observed for both the Soy and Animal based fuels; however, the Animal based increases were smaller in magnitude than the Soy based fuel (7.6% vs 13.8% for A100 & S100, respectively).
- Significant PM emission reductions (~55%) were observed for both the S100 and A100 test fuels.
- Reductions in CO and THC were observed with all biodiesel fuel blends.
- Long term effects of various fuels were not evaluated in this study.



Acknowledgements

Special thanks to the staff at the Stockton lab for their hard work and dedication.

1

Other entities that supported this project:

- •John Deere
- •Western Power Products, Inc.
- •UC Davis

1